UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . CASE NO. 3:23-CR-029-29

PLAINTIFF,

V. GALVESTON, TEXAS

FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 2024

PATSAMONG SOMKONGMANY, . 11:00 A.M. TO 11:28 A.M.

•

DEFENDANT.

DETENTION HEARING

SOME PARTIES APPEARING VIA ZOOM

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW M. EDISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE

ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER: ANDY GOULD

CASE MANAGER: RUBEN CASTRO

OFFICIAL INTERPETER: NONE PRESENT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

TRINITY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
1081 Main Street
Surgoinsville, TN 37873
281-782-0802

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

GALVESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . CASE NO. 3:23-CR-029-29

PLAINTIFF,

. GALVESTON, TEXAS V.

. FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 2024 . 11:00 A.M. TO 11:28 A.M. PATSAMONG SOMKONGMANY,

DEFENDANT.

DETENTION HEARING

SOME PARTIES APPEARING VIA ZOOM

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW M. EDISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Appearances:

For the GOVERNMENT: KENNETH A. CUSICK, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorney

Office of the United States

Attorney

 $601 \ 25^{\text{TH}} \ \text{Street}$, Room 227

Galveston, TX 77550

DEFENDANT SOMKONGMANY: ALEJANDRO MACIAS, ESQ.

Law Office of Alejandro

Macias, P.C.

5300 Memorial Drive, Suite 1000

Houston, TX 77007

Transcription Service: Cheryl L. Battaglia

Trinity Transcription Services

1081 Main Street

Surgoinsville, TN 37873

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Perfect. Good to see you. And I think that's Miss Bunwat Jaelo Somkongmany? Did I correctly? (No audible response.) THE COURT: Okay. Let me start off with -- I'll -- I'll actually taken a look at -- at the issue that we raised and we discussed. And, you know, long story short. The Defendant has been in the United States for I think it's almost 44 years or so. And this is because I've gone with -- and Mr. Cusick, while I appreciate it, hey it's not that he is necessarily a risk of flight. The reason concern that the Government has here is that there is an ICE detainer. And if ICE decided to detain and remove the Defendant to Laos, then it would obviously make the Defendant unavailable for trial. Even though, the Defendant has been in the United States, wants to remain in the United States, and the Defendant for bail if there are conditions, or combination of conditions, that I could post that alleviate the risk of flight. I've taken a very close look at the case law. It is, just an aside, it's very interesting some of these

don't -- things don't come up.

I mean, it's been six years on the bench, I've never had this issue come up. I've actually taken a look at it. I'm actually going to write a sort of opinion on this.

it's sort of helpful to -- cause it's done all over the country, but nothing in the Southern District of Texas.

Long story short, more than early next week, the long story short. I think it's clear after reviewing the case law that the specter of a Defendant's removal from the United States by ICE is not enough taken alone to establish that there's a serious risk that the Defendant will flee.

As a result, I am going to order or put in place conditions that, for the Defendant to posit. I will just say, it's particularly interesting. According to -- to my research, for what it's worth, I think there are, at least as of November 2022, there were 4600 people, according to ICE that were Laos citizens who had detainers in the United States. In 2022, 4 people were removed. And in 2023, 1 person was removed.

So --

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: It's now my decision to decide what ICE is -- you know, they make that decision. And candidly, you know, it doesn't matter, you know, whether ICE would or would not. That's -- the -- the question is, is there a risk of flight. And more importantly, are there any conditions, or combinations, that I could impose to relieve that risk.

So as a result, Mr. Somkongmany, I am going to impose conditions on you to have you released is where we got to.

However, you need to follow these conditions that I'm going to

4 1 impose. 2 And if you violate any of these conditions, you should fully expect to be back here in front of me, and that 3 you should be held in custody pending trial. 4 5 And as I doesn't want it, I don't want it, I know you 6 family doesn't want it, and I know the Government doesn't want 7 it. 8 Do you understand that, sir? 9 **DEFENDANT SOMKONGMANY:** Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Let me go over those conditions 11 with you. And let me have a word --12 (Pause in the proceeding.) 13 THE COURT: What is your view, Mr. Cusick? And I 14 understand, sir, what is your -- what conditions do you think I 15 should impose? (Pause in the proceeding.) 16 17 MR. CUSICK: Your Honor, it would be all the standard 18 conditions that the Bail Reform Act lists out in the statute. 19 That is everything is put routinely -- put on adapt the 20 conditions, home detention and electronic monitoring. 21 After you do set out what the conditions are and if 22 it's his there's an order that you're going to release him on 2.3 it, I would like to make a record. Because I do plan to file a 24 motion to stay and appeal this to the District Court. 25 And I would like to clarify for the record my basis

But first and foremost, on the back side of this

jurisdiction of the Court.

Number two, Mr. Cusick just mentioned lack -- lack of

24

question about the risk of flight. It was not an argument on danger to the community. Am I wrong on that?

23

24

25

Yes, your Honor. I mean, I'm not saying

that it's zero percent change. But the overriding concern of the Government is the risk of flight.

THE COURT: And -- and the Government's position is the reason he's a risk of flight is why? Tell me again -- why? Why is this individual, who's been in the United States since 1978, who has not been back to Laos since. And he has -- he has children who live in the United States with their respective mothers. He has a -- let me look at this Pretrial Report here.

He has -- says he came to the United States from Laos at the age of 3. He lived in the Dallas, Texas area for 30 years, in Houston for 2 years, Oklahoma for 3 years before returning to Houston in September of 2023.

Which by the way, is when his employment at Hartz

Chicken in Houston started. And then there's two sisters, both are U.S. citizens. One resides in Texas. And one who resides in Connecticut. And his mother, a permanent resident, resides in the U.S.

Why is he a risk of flight?

MR. CUSICK: Your Honor, because he's never faced a ten to life drug charge before. He Government considered his case strong because he hand-delivered the fentanyl to undercover agent Jane (phonetic) Barretson (phonetic) and, therefore, that the sentenced to this maximum in this case.

Both counts in that indictment, he's facing more than

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

ten years which brings us the presumption. And so these other charges he's faced before, he's never faced this kind of a punishment. Is it -- okay. what else? THE COURT: (Pause in the proceeding.) MR. CUSICK: And the risk is -- however the Court views it, low or high, low obviously in the view of the Court. But if he does disappear back to Laos, the Government will have no ability to get him back here. THE COURT: And I just want to make sure I'm clear. So the Government, the United States Attorney's position is that any time a Defendant faces ten to life in a case, that if they're from another country, that they should be held in custody pending trial, cause they're a risk of flight? MR. CUSICK: Your Honor, the presumption -- one of the presumption is right -- yes, in every case is what the Defendant's obtained, because the risk is very high that they're a -- they're a risk of flight and a danger to the community, which Backey (phonetic) sets out. That's just an added feature if they're here illegally in the country, and that there's a detainer. mainly that they're here illegally from another country. THE COURT: All right. Let me ask another question.

District of Texas is, any time a Defendant faces ten years to

The U.S. Attorney's position for the Southern

person between the efforts of the Governments of Mexico and the United States.

In this case, Laos, we have no treaty. Therefore, he disappears and goes to Laos, we have no ability to get him back.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: Anything further from Government or the Defendants?

MR. CUSICK: Not from the Government.

MR. MACIAS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Somkongmany, as I was saying,
I am going to find that -- well let me make sure the record is
abundantly clear.

The Government in this case is seeking detention, cause they do believe you are a risk of flight. As I always say at these hearings, the sole purpose of this hearing is to determine whether you should be held in custody pending the trial of this case.

You are innocent until proven guilty. And nothing that goes on this proceeding will be (indiscernible) and can't say is intended to affect that presumption one way or that.

Our sole question is, should you be held in custody pending the trial of this case. And under the Bail Reform Act, which is the law that is applied in this situation that sets

2.3

forth the conditions on which a Defendant should or should not be released pending a trial in the case.

And what that law requires -- well first of all, it explains that pretrial detention is an exceptional step. It's the exception rather than the rule. And a Defendant must be released prior to trial, unless I find there's no conditions, or combination of conditions, exists which will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant that the Government, as it does here, seeks detention based on the risk of flight. Or reasonably assure the safety of any other person in the community if the Government seeks detention based on danger to the community.

And importantly, the <u>Bail Reform Act</u> requires that the least restrictive conditions be imposed that are necessary to provide those reasonable assurances. But, if I cannot find that there are conditions to reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required for the safety of persons in the community, then I'm required to, under the <u>Bail Reform Act</u>, to require that you be held in custody.

First and foremost, this is a presumption case.

Meaning, it is some type of crime that is alleged that there is a presumption that you should be held in custody pending trial in this case.

It is a rebuttal presumption. And I find in this case that the presumption is easily rebutted. The Defendant

has been in the country 40 --

2.3

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: -- 46 years, has not been out of the United States since then, has children, mother, siblings all in the United States.

So the question then becomes, is there a risk of flight or danger to the community. As in terms of -- let me start with danger to the community.

Government's right in seeking danger to the community in this case for outside this, it's clear there are conditions, and combination of conditions, that could be imposed to alleviate any danger to the community. So I do not think the Government has met its burden with respect to that.

With respect to the question about a risk of flight, the burden is Government has to, by a preponderance of evidence, there are no conditions, or combinations of conditions, that could insure you appearance at trial in this case.

I do not believe the Government has met its burden in this case. And for all the reasons that I have said, that you have been here a long time. And, in fact, the Government has acknowledged on the record that it is not concerned that you are a risk of flight, or at least there are not conditions I can impose.

The real concern is, hey, there's no extradition

- treaty with Laos. That if you disappear, if ICE picked you up,
 that you could not come back. And if you ended up in Laos, you
 could not come back.
 - Under the case law, it is abundantly clear that is not sufficient. And -- and -- and I will make that -- as I said earlier, I'm going to issue an opinion on this and will do that ASAP to -- to set forth the law, the legal standard.
 - So you're going to be released pending trial in this case. You've got to follow these conditions. If you violate any of these conditions, you should fully understand to be held in custody pending trial.
- Here is the conditions that I'm going to impose upon you, sir. And let me --

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: -- pull up my reporters from the low dispute screen.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: First of all, there's an unsecured \$50,000 bond. Which means, if you violate any of the conditions that I'm going to go over, you could be responsible paying up to \$50,000.

In addition, you cannot violate any state, federal, local law. You cannot intimidate any witnesses. You cannot obstruct justice at all.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: In addition, you obviously have to appear in Court as required and surrender to serve any sentence imposed. I am going to require that you be required to report to U.S. Pretrial Services. You are to maintain, or actively seek, full time, verifiable employment. If you have a passport, you need to surrender that immediately and obtain no further passport. (Pause in the proceeding.) THE COURT: I'm going to require that you live with your sister, Bounwat Jaelo Somkongmany, and will have you come in -- in a minute and then I want to go over some instructions with you. Well actually, if you could come on up, please, we can get you on the microphone real guick. (Pause in the proceeding.) THE COURT: Could you please state your name? MS. SOMKONGMANY: Bounwat --Scoot the microphone down a little bit. MS. SOMKONGMANY: Bounwat Jaelo Somkongmany. THE COURT: Thank you for coming in, ma'am. I requested you be here today because my plan is to release your brother into your custody to live at your house pending trial in this case. Do you understand that? (No audible response.) And that's okay with you.

| | 18 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: Yes, sir. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me find out. |
| 3 | What is that address that you live at? |
| 4 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXXXX XXXXXX XXXXX. |
| 5 | THE COURT: How do you spell that? |
| 6 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXXXXXXX |
| 7 | THE COURT: XXXXXXXX Lane. |
| 8 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXXXXXXXX, Texas XXXXXXX. |
| 9 | (Pause in the proceeding.) |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. And your phone number is? |
| 11 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXX |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yeah. |
| 13 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXX |
| 14 | THE COURT: Uh-huh. |
| 15 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: XXXX. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that I'm going |
| 17 | to make you what we call a third-party custodian, which means |
| 18 | you're going to be responsible for making sure your brother |
| 19 | comes to court and he doesn't violate any conditions that I |
| 20 | impose. Okay? |
| 21 | MS. SOMKONGMANY: Yes, sir. |
| 22 | THE COURT: And if he does violate any of the |
| 23 | conditions, it's your responsibility to inform Pretrial |
| 24 | Services that he's violated those conditions. |
| 25 | Obviously, I want to make sure that you make sure |

that he doesn't violate the conditions. Okay?

(No audible response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

And if you -- if you would listen closely to the conditions as I give them so that you can make sure that they are also complied with by your brother. And a copy of --of what the conditions that I give, Mr. Macias can give you and your brother a copy of that so you know those conditions.

Okay?

(No audible response.)

11 **THE COURT:** Thank you very much. Have a seat in the courtroom.

In addition, sir, you are going to be -- you cannot -- there is -- well, no travel outside of Harris County.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: You are to avoid all contact with any co-Defendant, any victim, any potential witness in this case.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: You have to refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon. No excessive use of alcohol. No possession of a narcotic drug or other controlled substance, unless, of course, prescribed by a doctor.

You have to submit to testing required by Pretrial Service to determine whether you're using a prohibited

substance. If ordered by Pretrial Services, you have to participate in a program of in-patient or out-patient substance abuse therapy.

I'm going to order that you have a GPS in an active role positioning GPS, actually an ankle monitor. And require that you be subject to --

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: -- home detention.

Which means, that you're to be restricted to your sister's residence at all times, except for employment, education, religious services, medical, substance abuse, or mental health treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, or court-order obligations that was pre-approved by the Pretrial Services.

So in other words, you can go to work. But, you know, you're not to go out running around, you know, not go out to -- to restaurants, to drive around. You're to stay at the home, unless it's pre-approved. And I cannot emphasize enough pre-approved by Pretrial Services.

In addition, last but not least. If you have any contact with law enforcement, you're to report that contact to law enforcement.

The example I always give is, if you happen to in a car going to work, and a police officer pulls you over, even if you didn't do anything wrong, make sure you're the one that

```
21
 1
    lets the Pretrial Services officer know. They'll let law
 2
    enforcement call.
 3
              Do you understand those conditions as I explained
 4
    them to you?
 5
              DEFENDANT SOMKONGMANY: Yes, sir.
 6
              THE COURT: Any questions for me?
 7
              DEFENDANT SOMKONGMANY: No, your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Before I go any further, anything
 9
    that I've forgotten, added, subtracted, thoughts from either
10
    Pretrial Services, the Government, or the Defendant?
11
              MR. MACIAS: I -- no, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cusick?
13
              MR. CUSICK:
                          No.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. So I will get that paperwork to
15
    Mr. Castro, my case manager. And we will get that to you
16
    immediately. And hold on just -- okay.
17
              Anything else for the record?
18
         (No response.)
19
              THE COURT: Mr. Cusick?
20
              MR. CUSICK: Yes, your Honor. Government moves for a
21
    stay on the release order, pending review by the District
22
    Court.
23
              THE COURT: Denied.
24
              MR. CUSICK: I'll also --
25
              THE COURT:
                           Denied.
```